



Muhammad Niswar <niswar@unhas.ac.id>

[IoTaIS'2022] Review Results for Your paper #1570843608 (IoT-Based Experimental Aquarium Environment for Observing Crabs)

1 message

IoTaIS'2022 (info@iotais.org) <info=iotais.org@edas.info>

Sun, Sep 25, 2022 at 5:28 PM

Reply-To: IoTaIS'2022 <info@iotais.org>

To: Sota Nakajima <e1n19072@oit.ac.jp>, Daiki Sumiya <e1n19056@oit.ac.jp>, Mayuko Morii <e1n19111@oit.ac.jp>, Nobuaki Mizutani <suishin2025@gmail.com>, Akitsugu Shimano <akitsugu.shimano@oit.ac.jp>, Muhammad Niswar <niswar@unhas.ac.id>, Shigeru Kashihara <shigeru.kashihara@oit.ac.jp>

Dear Mr. Sota Nakajima,

PAPER ID : #1570843608, PAPER TITLE: IoT-Based Experimental Aquarium Environment for Observing Crabs

Congratulations! The IoTaIS'2022 Technical Program Committee has completed the review process on your papers and we are pleased to inform you that the manuscript listed above has been ACCEPTED.

The Technical Program Committee carefully selected reviewers for IoTaIS'2022 and assigned reviewers papers in their areas of expertise. Each paper was peer-reviewed by at least three reviewers and/or TPC members. Please find reviews of your paper below this email.

Please consider 5 mandatory steps:

1. Your revised paper of final manuscript must strictly following the standard IEEE conference proceedings templates. Papers must be written on A4-format page according to the IEEE template Manuscripts of minimum four (4) pages and up to seven (7) pages in length. IEEE template Manuscripts founded at http://www.ieee.org/conferences_events/conferences/publishing/templates.html Please make the necessary changes based on reviewer's feedback, comments and suggestions which can be found at below, or [1570843608](#). You must maintain similarity score of final manuscript less than 30% (we are using IEEE CrossCheck-iThenticate).
2. Registration and payment Please be reminded that the due date for Authors Registration is October 30, 2021. At least one author has to register for each paper. Payment and registration details can be found at <http://iotais.org/registration/> and Conference registration at <https://edas.info/r29674>
3. PDF eXpress (IEEE Xplore compatible) Your revised manuscript must be compatible with IEEE Xplore. Please check with PDF eXpress at <http://www.pdf-express.org/> The Conference ID is 56727x Final manuscript PDF must passed PDF format check by IEEE PDF-EXPRESS. You may using PDF Express to convert your docs file to pdf easily.
4. Final "camera-ready" manuscript The final "camera-ready" manuscript of your paper should be submitted in the same manner as the review manuscript using EDAS before October 25, 2022. Do not forget to make an author registration before submitting the final manuscript.
5. Electronic Copyright Form (eCF) Copyright form should be submitted electronically through EDAS.

Additionally, note that papers which are not presented at the conference will be excluded from the proceedings and will not appear on IEEE Xplore. It is therefore very important that at least one author of this paper attend the conference to present the work.

Should you have any question or inquiry, do not hesitate to contact us at info@iotais.org. We look forward to seeing you at the conference.

Thank you and best regards,

Dr. Istikmal

Chair, IoTaIS'2022

=====

Review2 1**The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.**

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview

Problem can be identified, and related to literature review (4)

Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)

Research method is not easily identified, but only partially appropriate to address the problem (4)

Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question. - The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)

Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion (3)

Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.

Future impact is defined but not very clear; the likely impact is relevant to some research/society (4)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in 1)Technical content and 2)Presentation.

The paper is well-presented describing the set up for observations of aquarium environments; It addresses the motivation, the set up and values. However, it would be good to also include examples of using the observations like correlations of the different water parameters and the impacts on living conditions and growth.

Review2 2

The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview

Problem is incompletely reported, and the relevance to literature review is not clear (3)

Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)

Research method is not clearly identified, but inappropriateness is not evident (3)

Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question. - The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)

Conclusions are not supported by decent discussion (2)

Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.

Future impact is defined but not very clear; the likely impact is relevant to some research/society (4)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in 1)Technical content and 2)Presentation.

This paper presents an IoT based environment. Writing in related work section should be more formal. There is no evaluation or comparison with related works. Authors just present an implemented IoT environment. My basic question here is how have the authors designed their lab environment? They found specs from the literature or they asked stakeholders?

Review2 3

The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Significant original work and novel results. (4)

The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview

Problem can be identified, and related to literature review (4)

Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)

Research method is not easily identified, but only partially appropriate to address the problem (4)

Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question. - The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)

Good discussion with adequate evidence to support conclusion (4)

Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.

Future impact is defined but not very clear; the likely impact is relevant to some research/society (4)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in 1)Technical content and 2)Presentation.

The approach in this paper is interesting, but the authors should clearly show the effectiveness.

Review2 4

The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview

Problem is incompletely reported, and the relevance to literature review is not clear (3)

Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)

Research method is not clearly identified, but inappropriateness is not evident (3)

Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question. - The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)

Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion (3)

Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.

Future impact is not explicitly defined; the likely impact is limited (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in 1)Technical content and 2)Presentation.

the paper present IoT-based Experimental Aquarium Environment for Observing Crabs. In related work section, author should clearly highlight the key difference and similarities of their work and existing work. the authors should more explorer with different water parameters and the impacts on living conditions.

Review2 5

The Research Novelty: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

The Clarity of Research Question: The clarity of research question/problem and relevance of the literature overview

Problem can be identified, and related to literature review (4)

Research Methods: Research methods appropriateness and evidence adequacy (the relation between method and problem)

Research method is easily identified and is appropriate to address the problem (5)

Scientific argument and discussion: The strength of argument and discussion in connecting research finding with original research question. - The summary and conclusions (indicating to what degree the problem has been solved)

Good discussion with adequate evidence to support conclusion (4)

Future Impact: Future impact on related subject of the research/society.

Future impact is not explicitly defined; the likely impact is limited (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in 1)Technical content and 2)Presentation.

- Please complete the abstract part with main finding of the research.
- Please add-in the future works, as the guidance for the readers.
- Change some references with source from a website to a more valid references.
- When writing a "variable" in a formula, make it become italic.
- Please make clearer explicit research objective and what is the contribution of the work